Members of the Joukowsky Institute are by nature ‘international’ in our activities: pursuing fieldwork and attending conferences abroad, learning a range of foreign languages, working with citizens of numerous countries. This year, however, Brown archaeology also took place in two more unusual formats and venues.

First, in January 2012, I attended the World Economic Forum in Davos/Klosters, Switzerland as part of a Brown faculty delegation. The subject of the ‘IdeasLab’ (conducted in a very frightening pecha kucha format) was ‘Decoding Data with Brown University’, its underlying question: how are new methods to understand data generating breakthrough insights, from history to health? The Brown team involved faculty from the Brown Institute for Brain Science and the Departments of Biology and of Applied Mathematics, as well as the Joukowsky Institute (for a brief summary, see http://www.weforum.org/sessions/summary/decoding-data-brown-university).

Archaeology might seem a peculiar thing to have in such a conversation, but – as in all fields – datasets in archaeology are rapidly expanding and growing through the application of a range of technologies, from satellite imagery to nano-indentation. I specifically spoke about the case of Petra in Jordan, a much visited but very fragile site, arguing that massive data collection both allows archaeologists to monitor and track structural deterioration and helps urban management and planning for the future. Through new technologies, the youth community in Petra also potentially has the opportunity to learn transferable, career-enhancing skills, such as geophysics and database management.

This concept – of leveraging economic and educational benefits through archaeological work – fell on friendly ears at the World Economic Forum. More traditionally, I also spoke in a session on ‘Civilization and Transformation: Myths of Our Creation’, moderated by Niall Ferguson of Harvard University, discussing civilizational myths such as the notion that empires inevitably ‘decline and fall’, that walls always ‘separate’, and the monuments are highly stable entities. All in all, the World Economic Forum was a more diverse setting than certainly I had previously believed.

The other unusual international ‘event’ of the year was our Getty Research Seminar, ‘The Art’s of Rome’s Provinces’. This seminar, organized through Brown University and sponsored by the Getty Foundation, actually started in 2011 with the seminar team of some two-dozen, chiefly junior academics, visiting museums and sites in Great Britain. In May 2012, the same group reconvened and spent two weeks in Greece (including the time around the recent Greek national elections). This collective project was led by Professor Emerita Natalie Boymel Kampen (Columbia University, now a Visiting Professor at Brown) and myself, in conjunction with a team of distinguished international scholars.

The basic question driving the seminar was the exploration of how we understand the arts of Roman provinces in light of new theoretical thinking about colonialism and imperialism, set within the framework of an increasingly global world. The highly diverse nature of the group – with academics originally from Canada, Germany, Great Britain, Greece, India, Italy, Lebanon, Palestine, Rumania, Serbia, Spain, and the United States – made for a rich and often quirky conversation, full of excitement and sometimes unexpected results. A book is planned to share our group results.
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Lyra D. Monteiro
Racionalizing the Ancient World: Ancestry and Identity in the Early United States
In creating their new country, early Americans used the ancient language of Latin to express their sense that they were living in a novus ordo seculorum, a new order of the ages. Dr. Monteiro’s dissertation argues that Mediterranean antiquity was mobilized in the service of organizing race in a slaveholding republic predicated on equality but erected on exclusion and difference. Focusing on the period between the Revolutionary War and the start of the Civil War (1770-1861) – an era that previous scholars have shown was pivotal in the construction of the modern concept of race – the dissertation analyzes how ideas about “whiteness” and “blacksness” shaped encounters with Egyptian mummies and classical sculptures in American museums and medical schools; theatrical representations of ancient Greeks, Romans, Carthaginians, and Egyptians; and the classifying landscapes of southern slave plantations. Dr. Monteiro successfully defended her dissertation on Tuesday, April 24th.

Caroline Swan
In Flux: Glass, Technology, and the Glassmaking Industry of the Byzantine World
The Glassmaking of the Byzantine World Dr. Swan’s dissertation explores the history of glassmaking technology in the post-Roman world, focusing on Hsin-ts‘in-Tat in southern Turkey and Ayla in southern Jordan as case studies. Using methods from the fields of archaeology, art history, and materials science, Dr. Swan studied 250 fragments of glass vessels and jewelry. Combining typological, chronological, and chemical data allowed her to make detailed observations about economics, craft, and taste in glassmaking practices. Her findings show that glass production using mineral soda as a flux continued well into the 10th century CE, while the glass production using plant ash soda as a flux began in the 9th century CE and was totally dominant by the 11th century CE. Her research also identifies two entirely new chemical groups of glass that appear in the 10th century CE and seem to be exclusive to the northern regions of the Byzantine world; these unique glass types suggest that while the Byzantine and Islamic glassmakers were inheritors of the Roman glassmaking industry and shared many technological and economic elements, they also developed in rather distinct ways. Dr. Swan successfully defended her dissertation on Friday, March 23rd.

Envisioning the Tomb of the First Emperor: Concealed and revealed
By building Big! Olga Masa
Qin Shi Huang (259-210 BCE) was king of the Qin and First Emperor of a unified China, which he ruled from 221 to 210 BCE. Gifted with exceptional military prowess and consummate ambition, the First Emperor conceived and completed many monumental projects, including a massive defense structure, and –grandest of all – his own mausoleum. Believing that his sway extended to the afterlife, Qin Shi Huang built a funerary complex of unprecedented size and complexity. His tomb was so large it would occupy a substantial portion of the city of Xi’an. It included more than a hundred chariots, several hundred horses, human and animal sacrificial victims, and as many as 8000 life-size terracotta warriors. Scholars like Project Director Felipe Rojas Jr.’s ARCH 1715, Building Big! Specialized Architectural and Engineering Structures From Antiquity, observed the Year of China by recreating a fraction of the sprawling mausoleum. Life-size prints of the terracotta warriors made by Building Big! were erected on the Brown University Main Green, and soldiers were placed around campus to give a sense of the scale of the enormous tomb. In addition to celebrating the diverse history and archaeology of China, this project was intended to inspire the Providence community to envision one of the largest and most fascinating funerary structures.

ARCHAEOLOGY OF TURKEY: STATE OF THE FIELD 2012

Archaeology has a long history in what is modern day Turkey, and the archaeology of Turkey has long been a strong presence in the history of the Joukowsky Institute. In fact, this past year, the number of faculty and graduate students who do research in Turkey was so great that it seemed almost imperative to follow in the footsteps of last year’s “Archaeology of Italy: State of the Field 2011” by holding a symposium similarly dedicated to clarifying where archaeology in Turkey is, where it has been, and where it is going. Joukowsky Institute doctoral candidate Sarah Craft, whose dissertation focuses on the late Roman and early Byzantine landscapes of Anatolia, led the planning process.

The aim of the symposium was to examine the place of the archaeology of Turkey in the North American academy, as well as examining current and future structures for archaeological research. The symposium’s invited speakers came from a range of institutions and archaeological projects – in terms of method, location, chronology, and specialization – from across Turkey. They addressed issues related to their specific experiences, such as directing long-term major projects; salvage projects, especially with regard to dam construction; community archaeology; and the opportunities and difficulties involved in starting up new projects in the current political and financial climate. Hour-long roundtables gave speakers a chance to respond to questions raised by the presentations as well as those of audience members. Symposium participants dedicated a great deal of time to working through challenges and rewards of how different projects and scholars have engaged with local communities. The ethical responsibilities of the archaeologist working in Turkey, from research questions and methodologies to collaboration with local museums – as well as with each other, across chronological specializations – featured throughout the symposium, during which speakers also discussed permitting structures and current developments in legislation and cultural heritage management. For example, Müge Duruus-Tannövström, a colleague at the Joukowsky Institute, presented her impressions of recent controversies over, and the ethical implications of, the price-tagging of artifacts and the legalized sale of archaeological material by Turkish museums.

The successful weekend led to lively and productive discussions, and promises to yield future publications. Duruus-Tannövström and Craft are currently working on writing up the questions, issues and directions of the conference in a journal article, organizing it around the main take-away themes of collaboration, permitting structures, data comparability, and perhaps most pressingly, heritage management. The success of the symposium builds upon what is likely to become a tradition at the Joukowsky Institute: convening scholars to critically examine the practice of archaeology in the different countries in which faculty and students work.

NEW DOCTORS OF ARCHAEOLOGY

The Joukowsky Institute for Archaeology and the Ancient World is delighted to announce the successful defense of three doctoral dissertations this year.
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In creating their new country, early Americans used the ancient language of Latin to express their sense that they were living in a novus ordo seculorum, a new order of the ages. Dr. Monteiro’s dissertation argues that Mediterranean antiquity was mobilized in the service of organizing race in a slaveholding republic predicated on equality but erected on exclusion and difference. Focusing on the period between the Revolutionary War and the start of the Civil War (1770-1861) – an era that previous scholars have shown was pivotal in the construction of the modern concept of race – the dissertation analyzes how ideas about “whiteness” and “blacksness” shaped encounters with Egyptian mummies and classical sculptures in American museums and medical schools; theatrical representations of ancient Greeks, Romans, Carthaginians, and Egyptians; and the classifying landscapes of southern slave plantations. Dr. Monteiro successfully defended her dissertation on Tuesday, April 24th.
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Qin Shi Huang (259-210 BCE) was king of the Qin and First Emperor of a unified China, which he ruled from 221 to 210 BCE. Gifted with exceptional military prowess and consummate ambition, the First Emperor conceived and completed many monumental projects, including a massive defense structure, and –grandest of all – his own mausoleum. Believing that his sway extended to the afterlife, Qin Shi Huang built a funerary complex of unprecedented size and complexity. His tomb was so large it would occupy a substantial portion of the city of Xi’an. It included more than a hundred chariots, several hundred horses, human and animal sacrificial victims, and as many as 8000 life-size terracotta warriors. Scholars like Project Director Felipe Rojas Jr.’s ARCH 1715, Building Big! Specialized Architectural and Engineering Structures From Antiquity, observed the Year of China by recreating a fraction of the sprawling mausoleum. Life-size prints of the terracotta warriors made by Building Big! were erected on the Brown University Main Green, and soldiers were placed around campus to give a sense of the scale of the enormous tomb. In addition to celebrating the diverse history and archaeology of China, this project was intended to inspire the Providence community to envision one of the largest and most fascinating funerary structures.
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Archaeology has a long history in what is modern day Turkey, and the archaeology of Turkey has long been a strong presence in the history of the Joukowsky Institute. In fact, this past year, the number of faculty and graduate students who do research in Turkey was so great that it seemed almost imperative to follow in the footsteps of last year’s “Archaeology of Italy: State of the Field 2011” by holding a symposium similarly dedicated to clarifying where archaeology in Turkey is, where it has been, and where it is going. Joukowsky Institute doctoral candidate Sarah Craft, whose dissertation focuses on the late Roman and early Byzantine landscapes of Anatolia, led the planning process.

The aim of the symposium was to examine the place of the archaeology of Turkey in the North American academy, as well as examining current and future structures for archaeological research. The symposium’s invited speakers came from a range of institutions and archaeological projects – in terms of method, location, chronology, and specialization – from across Turkey. They addressed issues related to their specific experiences, such as directing long-term major projects; salvage projects, especially with regard to dam construction; community archaeology; and the opportunities and difficulties involved in starting up new projects in the current political and financial climate. Hour-long roundtables gave speakers a chance to respond to questions raised by the presentations as well as those of audience members. Symposium participants dedicated a great deal of time to working through challenges and rewards of how different projects and scholars have engaged with local communities. The ethical responsibilities of the archaeologist working in Turkey, from research questions and methodologies to collaboration with local museums – as well as with each other, across chronological specializations – featured throughout the symposium, during which speakers also discussed permitting structures and current developments in legislation and cultural heritage management. For example, Müge Duruus-Tannövström, a colleague at the Joukowsky Institute, presented her impressions of recent controversies over, and the ethical implications of, the price-tagging of artifacts and the legalized sale of archaeological material by Turkish museums.

The successful weekend led to lively and productive discussions, and promises to yield future publications. Duruus-Tannövström and Craft are currently working on writing up the questions, issues and directions of the conference in a journal article, organizing it around the main take-away themes of collaboration, permitting structures, data comparability, and perhaps most pressingly, heritage management. The success of the symposium builds upon what is likely to become a tradition at the Joukowsky Institute: convening scholars to critically examine the practice of archaeology in the different countries in which faculty and students work.
In March 2012, Assistant Professor Laurel Bestock started a new project at the site of Uronarti in northern Sudan. Uronarti was an island in the Nile where the Egyptian kings of the 12th Dynasty, c. 1900 BC, built one of a series of massive mud brick fortresses. The fortresses controlled river and desert traffic and gave the Egyptians access to the gold mines of Lower Nubia. Most of the fortresses were lost when the Aswan High Dam was built in the 1960s, but Uronarti and one other were only recently found to have survived.

Brown University’s project, which is run jointly with Christian Knoblauch from the University of Vienna, is designed to document and study not only the fortress itself but also other sites in the area. The first season was only one week long, and was designed primarily to figure out the logistics of running a project in an area where no archaeologists have worked for 60 years and where no local villages can be used for support. Despite the heat, biting flies, crocodiles, and difficulty of getting to the site, this year’s work was a great success. In particular, areas of dry-stone construction with large amounts of 12th Dynasty pottery were found well outside of the fortress itself, indicating that not all Egyptians at Uronarti were living within the fort. The regular presence of Nubian sherds also suggests that we will be able to explore questions of the relationships between the Egyptians and the local population at the time the fort was occupied.

In addition to the island itself, the project team was able to do some sight-seeing by boat. The concession includes an area for 5km around the island, and Bestock and Knoblauch intend to do a great deal of survey in coming seasons. Already the team has located and begun to document a massive fortification wall that ran for more than 2km along the west bank of the Nile between the southern Egyptian fortresses. Remarkably, they have also found that some walls of a fortress that had been flooded are, while badly damaged, still partly intact and accessible.